

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD OCTOBER 2007 / 11TH ASWINA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 3064 of 2007()

CC.1079/2006 of JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT-I,
PATHANAMTHITTA

.....

REVN. PETITIONER:

THE STATE OF KERALA REP. BY C.I. OF POLICE, PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY SUO MOTU

ADV. SRI. K.S. SIVAKUMAR, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

RESPONDENTS:

1. ADV. G. M. IDICULA, MULAMOOTTIL HOUSE, KOZHENCHERRY.
2. ADV. SHABEER AHAMMED, LULU COTTAGE, PETTA,
VETTIPPURAM MURI, PATHANAMTHITTA.
3. ADV. ZAKIR HUSSAIN, BEENA MANZIL, AZHOOR MURI,
PATHANAMTHITTA.
4. ADV. ABRAHAM MANNAYIKKAL, MANNAYIKKAL HOUSE,
PATHANAMTHITTA, CHITTOOR MURI.
5. ADV. SHAILESH, KOMATT HOUSE, ANGADICKAL VADAKKUM MURI,
KODUMON.
6. ADV. SIVAKUMAR, SIVA BHAVANAMM HOUSE, NEAR PANTHALAM,
N.S.S COLLEGE, PANTHALAM.
7. ADV. MOHANKUMAR, THAYYIL HOUSE, MANGALAM MURI, KONNI.
8. ADV. AJI, AJI BHAVANAM, EDAPARIYARAM, ELANTHOOR,
PATHANAMTHITTA.
9. ADV. MANOJ, NEDUPARAMBIL HOUSE, PRAKKANAM, CHENNERKUZHI,
PRAKKANAM.

: 2:

10. ADV. MURALEEDHARAN UNNITHAN, KANNIKUNNEL HOUSE,
KUMBAZHA, PATHANAMTHITTA.
11. ADV. GEORGE VARGHESE, PARANTHANATH HOUSE, THELLIYOOR
MURI, AIROOR.
12. ADV. SASI PHILIP, VARIKKANIKUZHIYIL HOUSE, AIROORVADAKKU,
AIROOR.
13. ADV. ANNIE C.T. AMBOTTIL HOUSE, OMALLOOR, OMALLOOR
VILLAGE.
14. ADV. PRASHANTH V. KURUP, S/O. VASUDEVA KURUP, VALLIKKODE,
PATHANAMTHITTA.
15. ADV. SUNNY SAM, M.G.S. BUILDING, CHITTOOR MURI,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR , J

=====

CRL. R.P. NO. 3064 OF 2007

=====

Dated this the 3rd day of October, 2007.

ORDER

This suo motu revision was initiated by this Court in the fond hope that the 15 members of of the Pathanamthitta Bar who were facing trial in C.C. No. 1079/2006 before the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Pathanamthitta would rise to the occasion and would whole-heartedly support the proposal to square up all the issues so that there was a happy finale to all the cases stemming from the unfortunate incident that took place on 29.01.2006. If such culmination could be reached then it was thought that C.C. No. 1079/2006 could be terminated by this Court without any bad blood between the disputing parties. But, I am constrained to observe that there was no favourable gesture from the Pathanamthitta Bar. Consequentially this case will have to be delinked from the batch of cases posted for the purpose of exploring the chances of an amicable settlement. It was represented before me on behalf of the Secretary of Bar Association that even though the Pathanamthitta Bar is not against the disputing parties withdrawing their contentions and

settling the dispute, they would like to continue C.C. No. 1079/2006 and see that the case reaches a logical conclusion. Such being attitude of the local Bar, I see no justification in keeping this revision pending. Crl.R.P. No. 3064/2007 is accordingly dropped leaving it to the trial court to proceed with the trial. Communicate a copy of this order to the court below.

V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE.

rv

CRL. R.P. NO. 3064/2007

: 3: